

REMARKS

The Office Action of January 4, 2005 rejects claims 27-36 as obvious. The remainder of the claims are withdrawn in view of the prior restriction requirement.


In particular, claims 27-36 are rejected as obvious over Jetcha and Gever. It is respectfully submitted that there is no teaching or suggestion to combine Jetcha and Gever in the manner that the Office Action proposes. Consequently, the rejection is based on hindsight, which the law does not permit. Indeed, combining Jetcha and Gever would not create anything like what is claimed in the present claims.

Claim 49 is now added as a new independent claim. Claim 49 generally incorporates the limitations of claims 27-36 in a single claim. Allowance is respectfully requested, as there is no teaching or suggestion in the cited references for this combination.

A check for a one-month extension of time, which is hereby requested, is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP

By: 

Scott R. Hansen
Registration No. 38,486

SRH:kk

Howard Hughes Center
6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90045
Telephone: (310) 824-5555, Facsimile: (310) 824-9696
Customer No. 24201

88723.1